

EAT2702\1

Section 1 - Nominee details and eligibility

Nomination type

Please indicate who you are nominating for the award below:

- ☒ I am nominating my team, as team lead / representative (self-nomination)

Nominee details

Please provide your details below.

Institution / Organisation

No Response

Department

No Response

Current position / role

No Response

ORCID ID (if known / applicable)

No Response

Award guidelines and eligibility

By checking this box, you confirm that:

- You have read the award guidelines and have checked that you are eligible.
- You are a member of the Academy and have a public profile accessible through the member directory.
- Your supporter **is not** a member of the team.
- If shortlisted:
 - You, as team lead / representative, will be required to attend an interview on the date specified in Section 3 of the award guidelines.

- The interview should also be attended by any team member(s) best positioned to speak to the work and its outcome / impact.
- If successful, you understand that you and your team will be invited to attend and speak at the formal announcement of the award at the Foundation's Annual Symposium and to engage with other Academy activities in the future.

You have read the Vivensa Foundation's resubmission policy and understand that, if you were

- previously unsuccessful, you may re-submit **once** to the same award. However, you **must** entirely revise the previously submitted nomination before resubmitting. Duplicate submissions, sections of the form and/or letters will **not** be eligible.

Unchecked

Section 2 - Team's contribution to ageing-related research, practice and/or innovation

If you are nominating your team, please note that for the remaining questions in this section, when the form mentions "the nominee" or "team lead / representative" it is referring to you.

Please clearly describe the ageing-related 'Team Achievement' that was accomplished for the first time within the last five years, which has led to a significant, sustainable and evidenced outcome and/or impact at a local, regional, national or international level

Please use this section to clearly articulate the outcome / impact being celebrated, provide tangible evidence of it, and explain how it will be maintained and/or built upon. In particular, please include a brief written timeline explaining:

- when the work leading to the achievement began;
- when the specific achievement being celebrated was accomplished; and
- how the work and its resulting outcome and/or impact have developed from that point to the present day.

By outcome / impact, we mean a tangible positive change that has taken place as a direct result of the team's achievement within the last five years, which addresses an issue / issues related to ageing well, including but not limited to:

- Advocacy and/or improving working culture.

- Influencing policy and practice.
- Advancing research and innovation, such as applying scientific discoveries from laboratory research and preclinical studies to develop new treatments, interventions and diagnostic tools.
- Commercial impact.

Consistent with the Foundation's ethos, no hierarchy is applied based on the geographic or systemic scale of the outcome / impact. This is your opportunity to explain its context and significance and make the best case for why it matters.

You may include web links to help support or evidence your answer, particularly when referring to awards, prizes or published work. However, please ensure that all web links provided are functional and accessible.

Max 500 words.

No Response

Background of the team

Please use this section to describe how / why / when the team was formed and how else it has demonstrated excellence in the ageing-related field (beyond the nominated achievement).

You can include web links to help support or evidence your answer. However, please ensure that all web links provided are functional and accessible.

Max 300 words.

No Response

Please describe how the achievement being celebrated involved a multidisciplinary and/or multi-professional team. Please ensure the specific role(s) / contribution(s) of each team member is clear and listed. In particular, it is important to articulate how the team's collaborative approach and diverse expertise were pivotal to the success of the achievement being celebrated

For example, teams can include members from academia, health and care settings and/or the VCSE sector, which encompass different disciplines, professions, sectors and/or organisations.

Whilst there is no limit on team size, nominations must clearly outline the team composition (i.e. the specific role(s) / contribution(s) of each team member).

Nominations focusing solely on team leads / representatives, Principal Investigators, single research groups or individual organisations / institutions will **not** be eligible. Nominations involving different departments (or equivalent) from the same organisation / institution may be accepted, but it is the responsibility of the nominee / nominator / supporter to articulate the multidisciplinary and/or multi-professional nature of the work and put forward their best case for the award.

You can include web links to help support or evidence your answer. However, please ensure that all web links provided are functional and accessible.

Max 500 words.

No Response

Section 3 - Commitment to our key values

Key values: nominee letter

Using the information below about our values for guidance, please upload a supporting statement in the following format:

- A letter addressed to the Foundation's Grants Team, the main body of which should be no more than 1250 words. You may include hyperlinks to examples of your team's work if you wish. However, please ensure that all hyperlinks provided are functional and accessible.
- Please note that any use of AI tools to prepare this letter must be disclosed in the AI disclosure section of the form below.
- The letter must be submitted as either a Word document or PDF.

Please ensure that this letter complements, rather than repeats, information already provided elsewhere in the nomination form.

No Response

Guidance on our key values

Your statement should describe the team's commitment to our key values for ageing-related work. The points below outline our key values to help guide you:

- **Capacity building, mentoring, shared learning and positive working culture**

You should describe and evidence your team's commitment / contribution to capacity building and/or mentoring in their ageing-related work, and how your team have shared learning and meaningfully contributed to building a positive working culture.

Please try to provide specific examples of your team's contribution and explain what difference this has made (e.g. to your team's work or that of others, the field and/or more widely).

- **Involvement and/or engagement of older people**

Please try to provide specific examples of how your team has meaningfully involved and/or engaged older people in their ageing-related work (e.g. by promoting patient, carer and/or public involvement and engagement or through other relevant community-focused activities), explaining the difference this

has made (e.g. to your team's work or that of others, the field and/or more widely).

If applicable, you may wish to refer to the UK Standards for Public Involvement, a framework for what good public involvement in research looks like.

- **Equity, Diversity and Inclusion (EDI)**

The principles of EDI can include issues related to the characteristics that the Equality Act 2010 protects, as well other characteristics such as socio-economic status, geographical location etc.

Please try to provide specific examples of how your team has considered EDI in their formation, as well as in aspects of their ageing-related work and its development, explaining the difference this has made (e.g. to your team's work or that of others, the field and/or more widely).

- **Environmental impact of work**

You should describe and evidence your team's understanding of the environmental impact of their ageing-related work and ways in which this could be reduced.

Please try to provide specific examples of your team's contribution and explain what difference this has made (e.g. to your team's work or that of others, the field and/or more widely).

We recognise that some of the criteria above may be more challenging for some depending on the area of work. What matters most is how nominations acknowledge and address any challenges, and how progress has been made by leveraging available resources and opportunities.

Supporter letter

Please upload a statement from a **supporter** for your team's nomination, in the following format:

- A letter addressed to the Foundation's Grants Team, the main body of which should be no more than 500 words. Your supporter may include hyperlinks to examples of your team's work if they wish. However, the supporter must ensure that all hyperlinks provided are functional and accessible.
- Please note that any use of AI tools by the supporter to prepare this letter must be disclosed in the AI disclosure section of the form below.
- The letter must be submitted as either a Word document or PDF.

Your supporter's statement should briefly explain any connection they have with you and your team (e.g. any current or prior work you have done together etc.) and why they are supporting your team for the award.

Your supporter must ensure that this letter complements, rather than repeats, information already provided elsewhere in the nomination form.

No Response

Section 4 - Plan for the award

Three-year plan

Please upload an indicative three-year plan outlining how you would use the £120,000 Excellence Award if successful, using the guidance below:

- The plan should explain how the award would sustain or build on the achievement being recognised, advance the ageing-related field, improve the lives of older adults in the UK, deliver benefit beyond your immediate team and/or organisation and demonstrate a genuine commitment to the Vivensa Foundation's key values (highlighted in the previous section of the form).
- The planned activities must directly support and/or benefit people in the UK and/or UK-based organisations. We also seek to focus our resources where they can add the greatest value, prioritising areas that are comparatively underfunded and not already supported by dedicated national or international charities. Consequently, we will not fund work with a specific focus on cancer.
- The main body of the document should be **no more than 500 words**. You may include hyperlinks where relevant. However, please ensure that all hyperlinks provided are functional and accessible.
- You may also include a Gantt chart if helpful, and this will not count towards the word limit, but it should be included within the same document.
- Please note that any use of AI tools to prepare this plan must be disclosed in the AI disclosure section of the form below.
- This plan should be submitted as a single Word document or PDF.

No Response

Section 5 - AI disclosure

Have any generative and/or agentic artificial intelligence (AI) tools been used during the application process?

This applies to any use of AI tools in preparing the nomination, including relevant letters and plans, whether by the **nominee, nominator or any other contributor, such as a supporter**. This information is used for monitoring purposes and will **not** be used in the assessment process.

☒ Yes

Please provide further details below

In accordance with our Policy on use of generative and agentic AI in the grant application process, any use of generative and/or agentic AI tools to prepare a nomination must be disclosed in the nomination form.

This applies to any use of AI tools in preparing the nomination, including relevant letters and plans, whether by the **nominee, nominator or any other contributor, such as a supporter**. The disclosure should state which tool(s) were used, for what purpose, and, where possible, the specific model(s) and software used. It should also specify how the content was generated, including the prompts used.

We acknowledge that AI tools offer significant potential to enhance productivity and innovation. However, these should be used responsibly and in accordance with relevant legal and ethical standards, including data privacy, where these exist or as they develop. **Therefore, you should never input sensitive or personal data of others (i.e. third parties) into AI tools without consent.** The nominee and, where relevant, the nominator are responsible for ensuring that all information submitted as part of the nomination is accurate, respects intellectual property rights, and considers potential bias.

Max 300 words.

No Response

Section 6 - Institutional support (for information only)

Institutional letter of support (for information only)

Please note that, if successful, as a condition of award an appropriate authority from the team lead / representative's organisation will need to provide written confirmation that:

- The nominee's institution / department / organisation will administer the award.
- **For Team Achievement-award holders who do not have a permanent or tenured position:** the team lead / representative's contract will extend for at least the first 12 months of the award in the first instance.

Please note that no documentation is required at this stage.

Section 7 - Applicant declaration

I confirm that the information I have provided in this nomination form is accurate to the best of my knowledge

Unchecked

I agree that the personal data relating to me shown on this form, or otherwise made available to the Vivensa Foundation for the purposes of administering awards, may be collected, stored and processed by the Foundation for the purposes of assessing, administering and monitoring awards. I understand that this information may be shared with individuals and/or organisations involved in the assessment of awards, and with the Foundation's auditors.

Unchecked